



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

27

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/579,160	05/25/2000	Ricard Roma i Dalfo	MS#149599.1/40062.64US01	6964

23552 7590 07/15/2003

MERCHANT & GOULD PC  
P.O. BOX 2903  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903

EXAMINER

MIRZA, ADNAN M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2141

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003

5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/579,160

Applicant(s)

ROMA I DALFO ET AL.

Examiner

Adnan M Mirza

Art Unit

2141

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 May 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 May 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hara et al (U.S. 6,199,111) and Ote et al (U.S. 6,199,180).

As per claims 1,16,33-35 Hara disclosed a machine automation system for automating control of a client machine under control of a server process (col. 4, lines 28-39), the system comprising: a machine automation server object adapted to execute in the server process (col. 5, lines 23-29); a machine automation client object adapted to execute on the client machine in communication with the machine automation server object (col. 4, lines 50-55 & col. 5, lines 36-40).

However Hara did not disclose in details a machine automation control module initiating the machine automation server object in the server process and instructing the machine automation server object to initiate the machine - automation client object on the client machine to control operation of the client machine.

In the same field of endeavor Ote disclosed the remote power-on/off means sends the power-on or power-off request to the power controller through the asynchronous I/F, the line the asynchronous I/F and the asynchronous communication controller (herein after same route is

Art Unit: 2141

abbreviated as the line). For the Power-on request, the power controller controls the power unit controls the power unit to immediately turn on the power. On the other hand, for the power-off request, the power controller temporarily sends the power –off request to the agent through the SVP driver. The agent issues a system shutdown request to the network, and after the system is shutdown, sends the power off request to the power controller of the SVP board through the SVP driver (col. 6, lines 24-43).

It would have to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the remote power-on/off means sends the power-on or power-off request to the power controller through the asynchronous I/F, the line the asynchronous I/F and the asynchronous communication controller (herein after same route is abbreviated as the line). For the Power-on request, the power controller controls the power unit controls the power unit to immediately turn on the power. On the other hand, for the power-off request, the power controller temporarily sends the power –off request to the agent through the SVP driver. The agent issues a system shutdown request to the network, and after the system is shutdown, sends the power off request to the power controller of the SVP board through the SVP driver as taught by OTE in the method of Hara to increase the management of the computers connected to the LAN or WAN.

3. As per claims 2,17 Hara-Ote disclosed a machine identifier identifying the client machine on which the machine automation client object is to be initiated (Hara, col. 7, lines 33-40); and a machine identifier source providing the machine identifier to the machine automation server

Art Unit: 2141

object to initiate instantiation of the machine automation client object on the client machine (Hara, col. 9, lines 11-19).

4. As per claims 3,18 Hara-Ote wherein the machine automation server object includes a shutdown server object executing in the server process of a server machine, the machine automation client object includes a shutdown client object executing in a client process of the client machine (Ote, col. 6, lines 22-44), and the machine automation control module instructs the shutdown serve object to cause the shutdown client object to reboot the client machine and to re-establish communications with the shutdown client object via a communications mechanism after rebooting of the client machine completes (Ote, col. 9, lines 11-24 & col. 9, lines 35-44).

5. As per claims 4,19 Hara-Ote disclosed wherein the machine automation control module continues execution after rebooting of the client machine completes (Ote, col. 9, lines 11-24).

6. As per claims 5,20 Hara-Ote disclosed a timeout field of the machine automation server object for storing a timeout value specifying an amount of time the machine automation server object waits to return control to the machine automation control module after rebooting of the client machine completes (Ote, col. 12, lines 63-67 & col. 13, lines 1-17).

7. As per claims 6,21 Hara-Ote disclosed wherein the machine automation control module continues execution after the shutdown server object causes the shutdown client object to reboot

Art Unit: 2141

the client machine and before rebooting of the client machine completes (Ote, col. 9, lines 15-24).

8. As per claims 7,22,25 Hara-Ote disclosed wherein the machine automation server object includes a shutdown server object executing in the server process of a server machine, the machine automation client object includes a shutdown client object executing in a client process of the client machine (Ote, col. 6, lines 25-44), and the machine automation control module instructs the shutdown server object to cause the shutdown client object to log off of the client machine and to re-log in to the client machine using a predetermined user name without rebooting (Ote, col. 8, lines 9-21).

9. As per claims 8,23 Hara-Ote disclosed wherein the predetermined user name is recorded in a system registry of the client machine and read from the system registry by the machine automation client object to re-log in to the client machine (Ote, col. 8, lines 1-7).

10. As per claims 9,24 has the same limitation as to claim 7 except the re-log in to the machine after rebooting completes as been disclosed by (Ote, col. 8, lines 45-51).

11. As per claims 10,26 has the same limitations as to claim 8 therefore under the same limitations claims 10,26 can be rejected.

Art Unit: 2141

12. As per claims 11,27 Hara-Ote disclosed wherein the machine automation control module instructs the machine automation server object to cause the machine automation client object to return system information about the client machine to the machine automation server object (Ote, col. 9, lines 15-24).

13. As per claims 12-13,28-29 Hara-Ote disclosed wherein the machine automation control module instructs the machine automation server object to cause the machine automation client object to return to the machine automation server object a snapshot of a system registry of the client machine (Ote, col. 9, lines 15-36).

14. As per claims 14,30-31 Hara-Ote disclosed wherein the machine automation control module instructs the machine automation server object to cause the machine automation client object to install an application on the client machine (Ote, col. 12, lines 13-29).

15. As per claims 15,32,36-37 Hara-Ote disclosed further comprising: a machine identifier received by the machine automation server object identifying the client machine; another machine automation server object adapted to execute in the server process (Hara, col. 4, lines 50-65); a second machine identifier received by the other machine automation server object identifying another client machine; and another machine automation client object identified by the second machine identifier and adapted to execute on the other client machine in communication with the other machine automation server object via a communications mechanism (Hara, col. 6, lines 40-60).

*Conclusion*

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Adnan Mirza whose telephone number is (703)-305-4633.

17. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday during normal business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dharia Rupal can be reached on (703)-305-4003. The fax for this group is (703)-746-7239.

18. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703)-746-7239 (For Status Inquiries, Informal or Draft Communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT");

(703)-746-7239 (For Official Communications Intended for entry, please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE"),

(703)-746-7238 (For After Final Communications).

19. Any Inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-305-3900.

Any response to a final action should be mailed to:



Application/Control Number: 09/579,160

Page 8

Art Unit: 2141

BOX AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C.20231


Or faxed to:

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Receptionist, Crystal Park II,  
2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202.

AM

Adnan Mirza

Examiner

  
RUPAL DHARIA  
PRIMARY EXAMINER